Brief Communication, Case Study

A Case Presentation of Urinary Tract Infection Due to Staphylococcus lugdunensis

Christina Seitopoulou¹, Nikoletta Renesi¹, Marilena Stamouli², Panagiotis Koumpouros³ and Antonia Mourtzikou³

Public Health Center, Nikea, Pireus, Greece.¹ Department of Biochemistry, Naval and Veterans Hospital, Athens, Greece.² Department of Molecular Diagnostics, Nikea General Hospital "Agios Panteleimon", Piraeus, Greece³

Introduction

Urinary tract infections occur due to the colonization of the urinary tract by microorganisms and are considered one of the most common forms of infection in the community. Female gender, diabetes, and prior surgery of the urinary system are considered, among others, as high-risk factors for urinary tract infections.^{1,2} The aim of this study is the presentation of a case of urinary tract infection caused by *Staphylococcus lugdunensis*.

Case presentation

A 68-year-old woman presented to a primary care physician at the Nikea Health Center, Pireus, Greece, reporting urinary frequency, urinary urgency and dysuria. Patient history reveals hypercholesterolemia, hypertriglyceridemia, cyctocele grade 3 (severe) operated before decade, urethrocele operated before 5 years, arterial hypertension, and diabetes type II. History also reveals recurrent urinary tract infections, usually caused by Escherichia coli (>10⁵CFU/ml). She had no fever and her pelvic examination was normal, without evidence of abnormal vaginal or cervical discharge or inflammation. Urinalysis showed proteinuria, intense pyuria, traces of blood and absence of nitrites (Multistix 10 SG Reagent Strips, Siemens Healthineers). A urine culture grew monomicrobial Staphylococcus lugdunensis (>10⁵CFU/ml). The bacterium was identified by the RapID REMEL identification system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). (Figure 1) Antimicrobial susceptibility testing revealed susceptibility to Erythromycin, Ceftriaxone, Clindamycin, Vancomycin, Levofloxacin, Oxacillin, Cefuroxime, Cefotaxime, Ampicillin, Nitrofurantoin, Moxifloxacin, Cofrimoxazole, Amikacin, Ciprofloxacin, Norfloxacin, Tetracycline (Kirby-Bauer Disk Diffusion Susceptibility Test Protocol). The patient received treatment with Cefuroxime and her clinical status improved significantly. The follow-up urine culture which was performed after 10 days of antibiotic therapy was negative.

Discussion

Staphylococcus lugdunensis is a Gram-positive cocci, nonsporulating, nonmotile, facultatively anaerobic, catalase-positive, coagulase-negative, oxidase-negative, delta-hemolytic organism, which is commonly part of the human skin flora.^{3,4} It can also be found in the axilla, the nasal cavity, the perineal region, and the lower extremities. According to the literature it can also cause bone and joint infections, native and prosthetic valve endocarditis with significant mortality rates and bacteremia.⁵⁻⁷ The frequency of S. lugdunensis in urine cultures is not known, but there is growing evidence that it is an infrequent cause of urinary tract infection.^{8,9} Since it is associated with a high level of virulence and the capacity to produce a broad range of infections, its occurrence is subject of the attention of the scientific community.

Laboratory: My Laboratory User: admin			Report			ef No: 22.0000172 t Date: 10/10/2022	
RapID	RapID Staph Plus				Identification Report		
			Microco	de: 6320	10		
- ADH	+ SUC	- aGLU	- GUR	+ PYR	- LEU		
+ ODC	+ MANO	+ BGLU	- NAGA	- ARG	- LGLY		
+ LIP	- PO4	- ONPG	- URE	- ALA	- NIT		
IDENTI	FICATION =	S. lugdun	ensis				
Choice(s)		and the second	Probability	Bioscore	Contraindicated Te	esta	
S. lugdun	iensis		99,99%	1/694	LIP [21] aGLU[79]	NIT[79]	
Probability	robability Level: Adequate			BioFrequency: Atypical			
Choice(s) <u>S. lugdunensis</u> Probability Level: Adequate		Probability 99,99%	Bioscore 1/694	Contraindicated Tests LIP [21] aGLU[79] NIT[79] BioFrequency: Atypical			

Figure 1: Remel Rapid Identification of urinary isolate of *Staphylococcus lugdunensis* demonstrating a typical biotype with a probability of identification at 99.99%

References

- 1. Gupta K, Trautner BW. Urinary tract infections, pyelonephritis, and prostatitis. In: Jameson J, Fauci AS, Kasper DL, Hauser SL, Longo DL, Loscalzo J, eds. Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine. 20th ed. Published 2018. McGraw-Hill.
- Storme O, Tirán Saucedo J, Garcia-Mora A, Dehesa-Dávila M, Naber KG. Risk factors and predisposing conditions for urinary tract infection. Ther Adv Urol. 2019 May 2;11:1756287218814382. doi: 10.1177/1756287218814382. PMID: 31105772; PMCID: PMC6502981
- 3. Parthasarathy S, Shah S, Raja Sager A, et al. (June 24, 2020) *Staphylococcus lugdunensis*: Review of Epidemiology, Complications, and Treatment. Cureus 12(6): e8801. DOI 10.7759/cureus.8801
- 4. Argemi X, Hansmann Y, Riegel P et al (2017) Is *Staphylococcus lugdunensis* significant in clinical samples? J Clin Microbiol 55: 3167-3174
- 5. Douiri N, Hansmann Y, Lefebvre N et al (2016) *Staphylococcus lugdunensis*: a virulent pathogen causing bone and joint infections. Clin Microbiol Infect 22:747-748
- Kyaw H, Raju F, Shaikh AZ, Lin AN, Lin AT, Abboud J, Reddy S. *Staphylococcus Lugdunensis* Endocarditis and Cerebrovascular Accident: A Systemic Review of Risk Factors and Clinical outcome. Cureus. 2018 Apr 12;10(4):e2469. doi: 10.7759/cureus.2469. PMID: 29900089; PMCID: PMC5997432.
- Byrnes TJ, Rose BT, Myers NM, et al. *Staphylococcus lugdunensis* bacteremia in adults in a large community teaching hospital. Report of 29 episodes and review of its epidemiology, microbiology, clinical manifestations, and treatment. J Med Microbiol Diagnosis 2014; S2: 1-4. doi: 10.4172/2161-0703.S2-002
- 8. Klotchko, A., Wallace, M. R., Licitra, C. & Sieger, B. (2011). *Staphylococcus lugdunensis*: an emerging pathogen. South Med J 104, 509-514
- 9. Lozano-Masdemont B, Gómez-Recuero-Münoz L, Pulido-Pérez A. *Staphylococcus lugdunensis*: un patógeno emergente en infecciones de piel y partes blandas. Actas Dermosifiliogr. 2015;106:769-770